## 

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
OLANREWAJU ODEDEYI				AMERICAN AIRLINES						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery Cty.,  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			A	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Olanrewaju Odedeyi (Pro Se) 2142 Birch Drive, Lafayette Hill, PA 19444				Attomeys (If Known) Ralph J. Kelly, Esq McShea Law Firm, P.C. 1500 Market St., 40th Fl., Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-599-0800						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	II. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRIN	CIPAI				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz		v) <b>PTF</b> <b>X</b> 1	DEF	Incorporated or Pri		Defendant) PTF  4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business		Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	<b>X</b> 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6 
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT	TOR PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		DRFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure			KRUPTCY eal 28 USC 158	375 False (	STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 9. 7. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9.	LABOR  O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Actions Other Immigration Actions	P	423 With 28 L  ROPER 820 Copp. 830 Pater 835 Pater New 840 Trade 840 Trade 840 Trade 840 Trade 840 Deface Act of  SOCIAL 861 HIA 862 Blace 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI  FEDERA 870 Trace 871 IRS-	drawal JSC 157  TY RIGHTS  Tyrights  nt - Abbreviated  Drug Application  emark and Trade Secrets of 2016  ESECURITY  (1395ff) k Lung (923)  C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	480 Consu (15 U) 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedd Act 896 Arbitr 899 Admir Act/Ro Agenc 950 Consti	a)) Reapportion ust and Banki ustce tation teer Influe to Organize mer Credit SC 1681 or tone Consu stion Act 'Sat TV ties/Comm unge Statutory A ultural Acts ommental M om of Infor ation mistrative P eview or A y Decision	nment ning niced and attions t r 1692) umer nodities/ Actions s Autters rmation
	moved from 3 R	emanded from ppellate Court			sferred ther Dist		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct I	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of cau	ute under which you are 2, 1441; Montreal Conve- ise: preach of contract, neglig	ntion, IC	AO Doc. 9740, S. Treat	y Doc. N	lo. 106-4 rention	45			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS I UNDER RULE 23	S A CLASS ACTION , F.R.Cv.P.		DEMAND \$ 500,000			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n compla × No	
VIII. RELATED CASS	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE COMPANY AND COMPANY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	OF RECORD						
September 12, 2023 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Ralph J. Kelly								
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	;		MAG, JUI	DGE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 10/20)

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

  Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:2142 Birch Drive, Lafayette Hill, PA 19444						
Address of Defendant: 4255 Amon Carter Blvd., Forth Worth, TX 76153						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
RELATED CASE IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated  Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Yes No X  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same individual?  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as note above.  DATE: September 13, 2023 /s/ Ralph J.Kelly						
	Attorney-at-Law (Must sign above)	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
Civil (Place a √in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  □ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts) □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action □ 6. Patent □ 7. Copyright/Trademark □ 8. Employment □ 9. Labor-Management Relations □ 10. Civil Rights □ 11. Habeas Corpus □ 12. Securities Cases □ 13. Social Security Review Cases □ 14. Qui Tam Cases □ 15. All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify):Montreal Convention, ICAO Doc. 9740, S. Treaty Doc. No. 106-45						
	ARBITRATION CERT					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration)						
I, Ralph J. Kelly , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:    Ralph J. Kelly , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:   Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: September 13, 2023	/s/ Ralph J. Kelly	39706				
	Attorney-at-Law (Sign here ij	applicable) Attorney ID # (if applicable)				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a jury onl	y if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OLANREWAJU ODEDEYI	[,	:	
		:	CIVIL ACTION NO
	Plaintiff,	:	
		:	
$\mathbf{V}_{\star}$			
		2	
AMERICAN AIRLINES		:	
		:	
	Defendant.	:	

## NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

Defendant, American Airlines, Inc. ("American" or "Defendant"), incorrectly designated by Plaintiff as "American Airlines," by and through its undersigned counsel, McShea Law Firm, P.C., and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441(a), 1331 and 1332, hereby removes to this Court an action pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. American submits the following short and plain statement of the basis for the removal as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a):

## BACKGROUND REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff has refiled an action based on and including the same claims which he voluntarily dismissed against the same defendant. This is an action for violation of Sections 17 and 19 of the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air and a claim for negligence for injuries alleged to have been sustained by Plaintiff.
- 2. On or about February 7, 2023, Plaintiff commenced an action in the Magisterial District Court 38-1-23 in Lafayette Hill, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, at Docket No. MJ-38123-cv-0000019-2023 by filing a complaint. A true and correct copy of the District Magistrate Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 3. A hearing was held on March 28, 2023 before the Honorable District Magistrate Deborah Lukens and on March 30, 2023, a judgment was entered in favor of Defendant, dismissing Plaintiff's claims.
- 4. On April 5, 2023, Plaintiff appealed the District Magistrate judgment to the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County at Docket No. 2023-06003. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 5. On April 10, 2023, Plaintiff filed a complaint alleging claims of breach of contract and negligence and seeking damages in an amount not exceeding \$50,000. A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 6. On April 28, 2023, Defendant filed Preliminary Objections to the Complaint and on May 1, 2023, Plaintiff filed a pleading styled "Plaintiff First Amended Complaint" that alleged claims of breach of contract, negligence, and violation of Article 19 of the Montreal Convention, and sought damages in the amount of \$50,000. A true and correct copy of the Plaintiff First Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 7. On May 2, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Praecipe to Withdraw First Amended Complaint and a Praecipe to Update Amount In Controversy. True and correct copies of these praecipes are attached hereto as Exhibits 5 and 6, respectively.
- 8. On May 2, 2023, Plaintiff filed another complaint, also styled as "Plaintiff First Amended Complaint." A true and correct copy of this "Plaintiff First Amended Complaint" is attached hereto as Exhibit 7. This complaint set forth claims of breach of contract, negligence, violation of Article 19 of the Montreal Convention, and violation of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention. Plaintiff also sought "compensatory damages, expectation damages, incidental damages, and consequential of \$500,000 and punitive damages \$1,000,000 . . . ." Plaintiff First Amended Complaint, Ex. 7, at Prayer For Relief.

- 9. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint stated that the Defendant is a US-based airline and that Plaintiff suffered damages as a result of a canceled flight on May 5, 2022, and being denied boarding on a flight that occurred on January 23, 2023. Plaintiff First Amended Complaint, Ex. 7, at para. 2 and 3-20. Plaintiff alleged that he contracted COVID-19 as a result of the May 5, 2022 cancellation and that he was required to take ground transportation where COVID protocols were not enforced. Plaintiff First Amended Complaint, Ex. 7, at para. 33-58. With regards to the January 23, 2023 flight, Plaintiff claimed that he was not permitted to board the flight and had to take a flight later that day. Plaintiff First Amended Complaint, Ex. 7, at para. 7-20.
- 10. Plaintiff First Amended Complaint, Ex. 7, alleged four counts. Count I set forth a claim for breach of contract arising from both flights. Ex. 7, at para. 30-60. Count II asserted a claim for negligence arising from the May 5, 2022 flight cancellation and from the May 6, 2022 flight on which he traveled. Ex. 7, at para 61-84. Count III alleged violation of Article 19 of the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air, Done at Montreal on May 28, 1999, ICAO Doc. No. 9740 (entered into force on November 4, 2003), reprinted in S. Treaty Doc. No. 106-45, 1999 WL 33292734 ("Montreal Convention"), arising from both flights. Ex. 7, at para. 85-89. Count IV alleged violation of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention arising from the May 5, 2022 flight cancellation and from the May 6, 2022 flight on which he traveled. Ex. 7, at para. 90-100.
- 11. On May 22, 2023, Defendant filed a Notice of Removal and removed the action to this Court on the basis of a federal question and diversity of citizenship. *See* Docket Report for *Odedeyi v. American Airlines*, No: 2:23-cv-01934, U.S.D.C. E.D. Pa., attached hereto as Exhibit 8.
- 12. On May 30, 2023, Defendant then filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for failure to state a claim pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). See Ex. 8.

- 13. On June 1, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Response to the Motion to Dismiss. See Ex. 8.
- 14. On June 4, 2023, Plaintiff then filed a Praecipe to Attach/Substitute Response to Motion to Dismiss. *See* Ex. 8.
- 15. On June 8, 2023, Defendant filed a Reply Brief In Support Of Its Motion to Dismiss. See Ex. 8.
  - 16. On that same date, Plaintiff then filed a Second Amended Complaint. See Ex. 8.
- 17. On June 13, 2023, the Court denied Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint as most in light of Plaintiff's filing of the Second Amended Complaint. *See* Ex. 8.
- 18. On June 22, 2023, Defendant filed a Motion To Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). See Ex. 8.
- 19. On June 27, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Third Amended Complaint, seeking compensatory damages of \$5,000,000 and punitive damages of \$45,000,000, but failed to obtain the consent of the Defendant or seek leave of court, in violation of Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(f). See Ex. 8.
- 20. On July 11, 2023, Defendant moved to strike Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(f) for failure to obtain the consent of Defendant or seek leave of court. *See* Ex. 8.
- 21. On July 20, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal and dismissed the action pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41. *See* Ex. 8.
- 22. On August 14, 2023, Plaintiff filed a new action in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County at Docket No. 2023-18486 ("the State Court Action"). A true and correct copy of "Plaintiff [sic] Complaint" commencing this new action is attached hereto as Exhibit 9. This complaint sets forth claims for violation of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention, violation of Article 19 of the Montreal Convention and negligence arising from the cancellation of Plaintiff's

May 5, 2022 flight from Montego Bay, Jamaica to Philadelphia and "the rescheduled flight to Philadelphia on May 6, 2022" (para. 22), on which Plaintiff traveled. Plaintiff seeks "compensatory damages in an amount over \$75,000 together with punitive damages." Plaintiff Complaint, Ex. 9, at Prayer For Relief.

- Plaintiff's Complaint states that the Defendant is a US-based airline and that Plaintiff suffered damages as a result of a canceled flight on May 5, 2022 and a "rescheduled flight" on May 6, 2022, on which Plaintiff traveled. Plaintiff Complaint, Ex. 9, at para. 6-40. Plaintiff alleges that he contracted COVID-19 either as result of the May 5, 2022 cancellation and that he was required to take ground transportation where COVID protocols were not enforced or as a result of the May 6, 2022 "rescheduled flight" on which he traveled. Plaintiff Complaint, Ex. 9, at para. 6-40.
- 24. Plaintiff's Complaint, Ex. 9, alleges three counts. Count I is a claim for violation of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention arising from the cancellation of the May 5, 2022 flight and the "rescheduled" May 6, 2022 flight. Ex. 9 at para. 90-93. Count II alleges a violation of Article 19 of the Montreal Convention arising from the May 5, 2022 flight cancellation and the ensuing "one-day flight delay." Ex. 9, at para. 94-101. Count III asserts a claim for negligence arising from the cancellation of the May 5, 2022 flight and that he was required to take ground transportation where COVID protocols were not enforced. Ex. 9, at para. 102-115.

## **GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL**

25. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332 because this Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action and there exists diversity of citizenship.

5

#### REMOVAL IS PROPER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1331

- 26. A district court has original jurisdiction over cases if the claim is one arising Federal question cases are those cases "arising under" federal law. *Beneficial National Bank v. Anderson*, 539 U.S. 1 (2003). Federal law are those cases arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. *Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor*, 481 U.S. 58, 63 (1987).
- 27. Plaintiff's claim raises a federal question on its face because he seeks to enforce rights under the Montreal Convention, a treaty of the United States.
- 28. Since Plaintiff's complaint raises a federal question on its face, it is properly removed to this federal Court.
- 29. A suit to enforce rights under the Montreal Convention raises a federal question and is removable to federal district court. *Schaefer-Condulmari v. US Airways Group, Inc.*, No. No. 09-cv-1146, 2009 WL 4729882 (E.D. Pa. 2009) (denying motion to remand holding that complaint was governed by the Montreal Convention and was properly removed to federal court).
- 30. The Supreme Court has held that a state cause of action is "really" a federal cause of action which may be removed to federal court if the federal cause of action completely preempts the state cause of action. Franchise Tax Board of State of California v. Construction Laborers' Vacation Trust of Southern California, 463 U.S. 1, 24 (1983).
- 31. Accordingly, this Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

## REMOVAL IS PROPER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1) AND 1441(a)

32. At the time this action was commenced and continuing to the present, Defendant was a corporation, incorporated under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of Texas. Thus, Defendant is a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

6

- 33. At the time this action was commenced and continuing to the present, Plaintiff was, based on paragraph 1 of Exhibit 9, a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing and domiciled at 2142 Birch Drive, Lafayette Hill, Pennsylvania 19444.
- 34. The amount in controversy, based on the express allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint, *see* requests for relief following para. 93 and 115 of Exhibit 9, exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 35. The State Court Action is removable from state court to the District Court of the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(a) and 1332(a)(1).

#### THE REMOVAL PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN MET

- 36. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), venue is proper in this Court because this action was originally filed in state court in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, which is located in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 37. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon American in the State Court Action are attached as Exhibit 9.
- 38. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), the Notice of Removal is timely because it was filed within 30 days of American's receipt, by service or otherwise, of a copy of Plaintiff's Complaint referenced in paragraph 22 above. American received such Complaint on August 21, 2023.
- 39. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), promptly after filing this Notice of Removal, American will give written notice to Plaintiff and will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the State Court.
- 40. By filing this Notice of Removal, American does not waive any defenses that may be available to it (including, without limitation, any defenses relating to service, process, and

jurisdiction) and does not concede that the allegations in the Complaint state a valid claim under any applicable law.

WHEREFORE, Defendant American Airlines, Inc. respectfully gives notice of and removes the State Court Action to this Court.

Dated: September 13, 2023 s/Ralph J. Kelly

Ralph J. Kelly
Pa. I.D. No. 39706
McShea Law Firm, P.C.
Centre Square, West Tower
1500 Market Street, 40th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 599-0800
rkelly@mcshealawfirm.com

Attorney for Defendant American Airlines, Inc.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal of Defendant American Airlines, Inc. to be served upon pro se Plaintiff on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2023 *via email* as indicated below:

Olanrewaju Odedeyi 2142 Birch Drive Lafayette Hill, PA 19444 waju.odedeyi@gmail.com

s/ Ralph J. Kelly
Ralph J. Kelly, Esquire